

## MASTER'S THESIS : EVALUATION MATRIX

The four central learning goals are:

- **General Knowledge**
  - o *Knowledge of marketing disciplines:* graduates will demonstrate their overall competency in all the functional areas of marketing
  - o *Knowledge of instrumental disciplines:* Graduates will be able to show competency in complementary disciplines providing useful instruments for marketers.
- **Interpersonal skills**
  - o *Oral communication skills:* Graduates will be able to express themselves clearly defending their ideas in the business world.
  - o *Written communication skills:* Graduates will be able to write and argument their ideas effectively in the business environment.
- **Conceptual analysis**
  - o *Collect and analyze relevant information:* Graduates will be capable of finding, processing and also summarize information.
  - o *Use of knowledge for solving practical problems.* Graduates will be capable of analyzing information critically, integrating it with their knowledge in order to solve practical problems and to formulate appropriate decisions.

The following FMT Evaluation Matrix will be used for evaluating the FMT, in response to four learning objectives of the program:

| <b>SKILLS</b>                                    | <b>0 - 2,9</b>                                      | <b>3-4.9</b>   | <b>5-6.9</b>  | <b>7-8.9</b>   | <b>9-10</b>  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| <b><i>Knowledge of marketing disciplines</i></b> | The student fails to know the marketing discipline. | The student makes some sever error that requires them to reformulate their work. | The student shows a basic level of knowledge on marketing discipline, but makes small errors. | The student has a sound knowledge of the marketing discipline, but makes a few small errors. | The student shows a perfect knowledge of the marketing discipline. |

|  |   |  |   |  |   |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| <b>Knowledge of instrumental disciplines</b> | It is unable to answer the questions of the examiners' panel. | It has difficulties answering some questions of the examiners' panel | It is able to answer the questions of the examiners panel with hesitation and small errors. | It is capable of answering the questions of the committee, providing additional arguments. | The student uses the examiners' questions to delve into the analysis of some features of his/her thesis, as experts in the area would do. |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|

Measurement of the learning objectives "Interpersonal skills" will be done with a 1-10 point grading system in the FMT, in order to quantify the extent to which students have mastered verbal communication skills as well as the level of self-confidence that is necessary to make effective business presentations.

|                                     |   |   |   |   |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| <b>Written communication skills</b> | The written material is well below the standards of composition at university level. There is no sign of a logical and ordered structure.                               | The document contains a structure, but some key elements are not properly defined, and/or there are fragmented parts misplaced.                   | The document follows a roughly ordered structure, and most sentences are meaningful.  | The document follows an ordered structure. It is didactic and clearly written.  | The document is logically ordered, clear and concise. The writing is outstanding. It is very easy to read and intellectually stimulant. |
|                                     | There are many orthographic and grammar errors. Careless editing. Missing or inexact references. Poor quality charts and graphs that do not support text comprehension. | Work readable but not very carefully edited. Tables and graphs are good, but poorly located and only partially support comprehension of the text. | Care is taken with the editing and there is attention to detail, but there are some editing oversights. Tables and figures have good quality, supporting the comprehension of the text. | The grammar and orthography is good and most references are accurately used. Tables and figures have good quality, and help to understand some of the text arguments. | The editing is very careful with attention to detail. Tables and graphs are easy to follow and naturally integrated with the text.      |
| <b>Oral communication skills</b>    | The presentation is poor, uninteresting and contains no relevant information. It does not follow an appropriate structure   | .The presentation follows the line of argument of the slides and/or any other resources used in the presentation                                  | The student is able to keep the committee interested and follows a reasonable logical structure.  | The presentation follows a good logical structure that explains the main aspects, and uses informative slides.  | The presentation follows an excellent logical structure, controlling the slides or any other resources employed with comfort.           |
|                                     | The speaker's voice is too low to be understood properly, with long waiting   | The speaker's voice is acceptable, with   | The speaker's oratory grasps attention at some  | The speaker's oratory is capable of keeping the   | The student displays self-  |

|  |  |                         |                                 |                       |  |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
|  | pauses and abrupt changes of slides due to weaknesses in the line of argument. | only a few hesitations. | parts, being fluent in general. | committee interested. | confidence and has an excellent oratory, speaking to the committee and the audience. |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|

Measurement of the learning objective “Conceptual analysis” will be done with a 1-10 point grading system in the FMT.

|  |  |  |  |   |   |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| <b>Collect and analyze relevant information</b>        | <p>The description of the topic was done with data and opinions taken from irrelevant references and are not validated. Evidence is ignored, inconsistently or inappropriately presented. There is evidence of plagiarism.</p> <p>The problem attempted to be described is wrong because it is not well defined or is not justified that it is not already solved.</p> <p>The thesis presents serious or frequent problems of accuracy when using reference material. It fails to recognize obvious counter-arguments. Arguments are often presented as if they were evidence.</p> | <p>The description of the topic includes some data, conclusions and opinions from relevant sources, but data is mixed up with references that are not validated. Little care is shown in the use of tertiary sources.</p> <p>The problem is described but is not sufficiently justified that it is not already solved or is not analyzed from all perspectives.</p> <p>The thesis analyzes the data, but the analysis is not too rigorous, hiding obvious counter-arguments or disguising unlikely assumptions as facts.</p> | <p>The description of the topic includes some data, conclusions and opinions from relevant sources.</p> <p>It is justified that the problem is not solved or is not analyzed from all perspectives.</p> <p>The thesis analyzes the data rigorously. It does not try to hide obvious counter-arguments or disguise unlikely assumptions as facts.</p> | <p>The description of the topic includes relevant data, conclusions and opinions from reliable sources, but does not include the current status of the project boundary. The use of tertiary sources is generally avoided.</p> <p>The relevance of the problem to be addressed is well justified by using the information gathered.</p> <p>The thesis analyzes data rigorously. It does not try to hide any counter-arguments or unlikely assumptions disguised as facts.</p> | <p>The description of the topic includes relevant data, conclusions and opinions from reliable sources and tertiary sources that relate to the main theme of the project and the state of affairs.</p> <p>The relevance of the problem to be addressed is perfectly justified with the gathered information.</p> <p>The data analysis is exhaustive and correct. The thesis recognizes and confronts any possible counter-arguments. It distinguishes the demonstrable arguments and unlikely but necessary assumptions</p> |
| <b>Use of knowledge for solving practical problems</b> | The work fails to solve the problem and it does not show the basic knowledge and   | The proposed solution is so poor that it cannot be accepted as valid for solving the problem.  | The work may be considered sufficient for solving the problem, applying few marketing skills.  | The work may be considered good applying a few marketing skills in  | The work can be considered excellent, applying most of the basic marketing skills to  |

|  |                                   |  |   |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
|  | skills associated with marketing. | The solution represents a marginal student contribution. | Memory does not make it clear what is the student contribution for solving the problem. | the solution to the problem .<br>The solution adopted is justified, and represents a piece of work where the student's contribution is clearly stated. | the solution of the problem.<br>The solution adopted is optimal, representing an original contribution from the student. |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|

The weights for each skill are as follows:

| <b>SKILLS</b>  | <b>WEIGHTS</b> |
|--|----------------|
| <i>Knowledge of marketing disciplines</i>              | 20             |
| <i>Knowledge of instrumental disciplines</i>           | 20             |
| <i>Written communication skills</i>                    | 10             |
| <i>Oral communication skills</i>                       | 10             |
| <i>Collect and analyze relevant information</i>        | 20             |
| <i>Use of knowledge for solving practical problems</i> | 20             |