

Master in Information and Health Engineering

Specific regulations for the organization of the Final Project

The following clauses modify/complement the regulations for the organization of the Final Master Project (Trabajo Fin de Máster, TFM) approved by the Governing Council of Carlos III University in its session of 14 November 2019.

1. The TFM will have the format of an article (minimum 8 pages, although longer extensions are admitted), written in English, following the usual double-column format following of the IEEE or Elsevier journals. However, the cover page described in the general regulations must be attached as the first page. This cover page is included in Appendix I.
2. When the work includes additional authors to the TFM author and his/her tutor, a page describing the contribution of each one of the authors in each part of the research must be attached. This page must be signed by all the participants of the paper.
3. The delivery in electronic format must include:
 - Memory of the TFM following the format described in point 1
 - A contribution description (by author), signed by all authors (if applicable, as indicated in point 2)
 - If the article has been published or accepted for publication, a letter of acceptance will be provided
4. The documentation will be uploaded to the corresponding website (Aula Global) following the periods indicated in the corresponding academic calendar.
5. Once the TFM is uploaded to the platform, a plagiarism analysis report (Turnitin) will be generated for a better evaluation of the work developed by the student.
6. The registration for the TFM will be carried out in the dates established by the corresponding academic calendar.

Examining committees

The examining committee for the TFM will be made up of a minimum of two members and a maximum of five, selected by the Director of the Master's Degree, and may not include the tutor of the work being defended and qualified. A single panel may grade

one or more TFMs in accordance with the provisions of the Director of the Master's program.

The committee will fill an individual qualification report for the TFM which will indicate the title of the project and the level of acquisition of the skills assessed. This evaluation will be carried out using the matrix included in Appendix II.

The date of evaluation of the TFM will be adjusted to the periods indicated in the corresponding academic calendar. The committee will be constituted on the same day as the TFM evaluation, which consists of the student's presentation (20 minutes) and oral defence (10 minutes). The secretary of the Tribunal will be responsible for sending the corresponding qualification report to Postgraduate Studies, duly completed.

APPENDIX I: Cover page

Master Degree in...
Academic Year (e.g. 2018-2019)

Master Thesis
“Thesis title”

Author's complete name

1st Tutor complete name

2nd Tutor complete name

Place and date

AVOID PLAGIARISM

The University uses the Turnitin Feedback Studio program within the Aula Global for the delivery of student work. This program compares the originality of the work delivered by each student with millions of electronic resources and detects those parts of the text that are copied and pasted. Plagiarizing in a TFM is considered a **Serious Misconduct**, and may result in permanent expulsion from the University.



[Include this code in case you want your Master Thesis published in Open Access University Repository]

This work is licensed under Creative Commons **Attribution – Non Commercial – Non Derivatives**

APPENDIX II: Evaluation Matrix

FINAL MASTER PROJECT EVALUATION MATRIX

The examining committee must determine the level achieved by the student by marking the corresponding "level" column with an X. For each criterion, a numerical value must be assigned in the "score" column, within the possible range for the selected level. Each criterion will therefore have grade between 0 and 10, and will be weighted by its associated weight to obtain in the final sum a score from 0 to 100. The official grade will be the total score divided by 10.

Surname, name
(of the student)

Defense date

	Level	1	2	3	4	SCORING
		Scoring range	0-4	4-7	7-9	
Project	Quality of the project: content, structure, problem definition, ... (15%)					
	Proposed solution: suitability, quality, difficulty, ... (15%)					
	Experimental content: procedure, analysis of results and drawn conclusions (15%)					
	Originality, relevance and/or impact of the work (15%)					
Presentation	Presentation of the project (20%)					
	Project defense (20%)					

TOTAL (weighted sum of the scores) 0,0

Numerical grade of the final master project 0,0

The examining committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION
The student requests copy of evaluation matrix

YES	NO

EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)		
President:	Secretary:	Member: