uc3m Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Master in Information and Health Engineering

Specific regulations for the organization of the Final Project

The following clauses modify/complement the regulations for the organization of the Final Master Project (Trabajo Fin de Máster, TFM) approved by the Governing Council of Carlos III University in its session of 14 November 2019.

- 1. The TFM will have the format of an article (minimum 8 pages and maximum of 12 pages), written in English, following the usual double-column IEEE conference template¹.
- 2. The cover page described in the general regulations must be attached as the first page (this page is not counted in the maximum number of pages). This cover page is included in Appendix I.
- 3. If it is considered necessary, supplementary material may be included, but the article must be self-contained.
- 4. When the work includes additional authors to the TFM author and his/her tutor, a page describing the contribution of each one of the authors in each part of the research must be attached (this page is not counted in the maximum number of pages). This page must be signed by all the participants of the paper.

The final delivery in electronic format must include:

- A pdf document with the memory of the TFM following the format described in point 1, the cover page of point 2 and, if it is considered, the supplementary material.
- A contribution description (by author), signed by all authors (if applicable, as indicated in point 2)
- If the article has been published or accepted for publication, a letter of acceptance will be provided

The documentation will be uploaded to the corresponding website (Aula Global) following the periods indicated in the corresponding academic calendar. Once the TFM is uploaded to the platform, a plagiarism analysis report (Turnitin) will be generated for a better evaluation of the work developed by the student.

Despite the registration for the TFM will be open throughout the course, the student must complete the registration process, at the latest, at the beginning of the second

¹ You can download the template from (conference type): https://template-selector.ieee.org/secure/templateSelector/publicationType

uc3m Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

semester to be able to attend and complete the "Research Skills" seminars needed to carry out the TFM course.

Advisors

The final projects will be directed by the teacher or research staff (with venia docendi) who hold the title of Doctor and are linked to either the Signal Theory and Communications Dept. or the Bioengineering Dept.

In case the final project is carried out in an external company or entity, the direction of the project will be carried out on a joint supervision basis by a person from the external entity and by another person from Universidad Carlos III who meets the requirements previously established.

Examining committees

The examining committee for the TFM will be made up of a minimum of two members and a maximum of three, selected by the Director of the Master's Degree, and may not include the tutor of the work being defended and qualified. A single panel may grade one or more TFMs in accordance with the provisions of the Director of the Master's program.

The committee will fill an individual qualification report for the TFM which will indicate the title of the project and the level of acquisition of the skills assessed. This evaluation will be carried out using the matrix included in Appendix II.

The date of evaluation of the TFM will be adjusted to the periods indicated in the corresponding academic calendar. The committee will be constituted on the same day as the TFM evaluation, which consists of the student's presentation (20 minutes) and oral defence (10 minutes). The secretary of the Tribunal will be responsible for sending the corresponding qualification report to Postgraduate Studies, duly completed.

uc3m | Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

APPENDIX I: Cover page

uc3m | Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Master Degree in... Academic Year (e.g. 2018-2019)

Master Thesis
"Thesis title"

Author's complete name

1st Tutor complete name 2nd Tutor complete name Place and date

AVOID PLAGIARISM

The University uses the Turnitin Feedback Studio program within the Aula Global for the delivery of student work. This program compares the originality of the work delivered by each student with millions of electronic resources and detects those parts of the text that are copied and pasted. Plagiarizing in a TFM is considered a **Serious Misconduct**, and may result in permanent expulsion from the University.



[Include this code in case you want your Master Thesis published in Open Access University Repository]

This work is licensed under Creative Commons **Attribution – Non Commercial – Non Derivatives**

uc3m Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

APPENDIX II: Evaluation Matrix

its associated weight to obtain in the final sum a score from 0 to 100. The official grade will be the total score divided by 10. Defense date Of the student	Libriyan	idad	Polytechnic School Master in Information Health Engineering						
FINAL MASTER PROJECT EVALUATION MATRIX The examing committee must determine the level achieved by the student by marking the corresponding "level" column with an X. For each criterion, a numerical valuus be assigned in the "score" column, within the possible range for the selected level. Each criterion will therefore have grade between 0 and 10, and will be weighte its associated weight to obtain in the final sum a score from 0 to 100. The official grade will be the total score divided by 10. urname, name of the student) Level	Jc3m Carlo	iada is III adrid							
The examing committee must determine the level achieved by the student by marking the corresponding "level" column with an X. For each criterion, a numerical val must be assigned in the "score" column, within the possible range for the selected level. Each criterion will therefore have grade between 0 and 10, and will be weighted its associated weight to obtain in the final sum a score from 0 to 100. The official grade will be the total score divided by 10. Scoring range Defense date			WRITE ONLY IN GREY AREA						
Leve 1 2 3 4	must be assigned in the "sc	must determine the level achieved by the student by marking core" column, within the possible range for the selected level	g the correspo I. Each criterion	onding "level" on will therefor	re have grade b	between 0 and	d 10, and will be v		
Level 1 2 3 4	Surname, name								
Research skills Continuous assessment* (10%) Research skills in the project** (10%) Coughity of the project* content, structure, problem definition,	(of the student)	Level	1	2	3	4	7		
Research skills Continuous assessment* (10%) Research skills in the project*** (10%)		Scoring range	0-4	4-7	7-9	9-10	SCORING		
Research skills in the project** (10%) Quality of the project: content, structure, problem definition, (10%) Proposed solution: suitability, quality, difficulty, (10%) Project Experimental content: procedure, analysis of results and drawn conclusions (10%) Relevance and/or impact of the work (10%) Originality of the work (10%) Presentation Presentation of the project (10%) Project defense (20%) TOTAL (weighted sum of the scores) O,0 The examing committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION The student requests copy of evaluation matrix EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)	Danasah shilla								
Project Experimental content: procedure, analysis of results and drawn conclusions (10%) Relevance and/or impact of the work (10%) Originality of the work (10%) Presentation Project defense (20%) TOTAL (weighted sum of the scores) Numerical grade of the final master project The examing committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION The student requests copy of evaluation matrix EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)	Kesearch Skills	Research skills in the project** (10%)							
Project Experimental content: procedure, analysis of results and drawn conclusions (10%) Relevance and/or impact of the work (10%) Originality of the work (10%) Presentation Presentation Project defense (20%) TOTAL (weighted sum of the scores) Numerical grade of the final master project Numerical grade of the final master project The examing committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION The student requests copy of evaluation matrix EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)									
drawn conclusions (10%) Relevance and/or impact of the work (10%) Originality of the work (10%) Presentation Presentation of the project (10%) Project defense (20%) TOTAL (weighted sum of the scores) Numerical grade of the final master project The examing committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION The student requests copy of evaluation matrix EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)									
Originality of the work (10%) Presentation Presentation of the project (10%) Project defense (20%) TOTAL (weighted sum of the scores) Numerical grade of the final master project O,0 The examing committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION The student requests copy of evaluation matrix EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)	Project								
Presentation of the project (10%) Project defense (20%) TOTAL (weighted sum of the scores) Numerical grade of the final master project The examing committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION The student requests copy of evaluation matrix EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)		Relevance and/or impact of the work (10%)							
Project defense (20%) TOTAL (weighted sum of the scores) 0,0 Numerical grade of the final master project 0,0 The examing committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION The student requests copy of evaluation matrix EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)									
TOTAL (weighted sum of the scores) 0,0 Numerical grade of the final master project 0,0 The examing committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION The student requests copy of evaluation matrix EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)	Presentation								
Numerical grade of the final master project 0,0 The examing committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION The student requests copy of evaluation matrix EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)		Project defense (20%)							
The examing committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION The student requests copy of evaluation matrix EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)				TOTAL (v	weighted sum	of the scores)	0,0		
The examing committee proposes the qualification of DISTINCTION The student requests copy of evaluation matrix EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)			Numerical grade of the final master project 0,0						
EXAMING COMMITTEE (name and sign)		The examing o						NO	
			The stud	ent requests	copy of evalu	ation matrix			
President: Secretary: Member:									
	President:		Secretary:				Member:		

- Explanatory notes:

 (*) This criterion will be filled in with the continuous evaluation grade of the "Research Methodologies Semminars".

 (**) For the qualification of this criterion the examing committee will take into account:

 Correct handling of citations and bibliographic information

- Appropriateness of the experimental or epidemiological design of the study, if necessary
 Appropriate statistical data processing approach, if applicable
 Appropriate treatment of the possible bioethical implications of the work
 Intellectual/industrial property aspects are adequately addressed, if applicable